

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,335	02/01/2001	Swinton B. Burkhalter	102	9209
75	90 12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
-	seph H. Golant Kalinowski, alexai		ALEXANDER G	
Suite 3500 77 West Wacke	er Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 6	0601-1692		3626	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/775,335	BURKHALTER	ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander Kalinowski	3626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory erior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of tood will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered tin ONTHS from the mailing date of thi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	September 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ TI	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to objected to objected to object of the drawing (s) be held in abeytection is required if the drawing.	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			ı		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. Ints have been received in Tiority documents have been are (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nation	al Stage		
	•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (P 	TO-152)		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./M	lail Date 121104		

Application/Control Number: 09/775,335

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-6 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sexton et al., Pat. No. 5,752,236 (Sexton) in view of Norris, George A., "Satisfactory arrangements: Hooking up with a property-casualty agency (hereinafter Norris) and Smith, Victoria Hall, "Protect yourself" (hereinafter Smith).

As to claims 1 and 3, Sexton discloses A method for forming an insurance plan comprising the steps of:

collecting data concerning multiple insurance coverages, including life (col. 18, lines 61-63), health, disability, major medical, critical illness, long term care and property and casualty,

collecting data about an individual or other risk to be insured (col. 13, lines 28-52); inputting said data about the individual or other risk and the coverages into a data processing apparatus (col. 13, lines 28-52);

collecting regulatory requirements (col. 11, line 64 – col. 12, line 17 and col. 19, lines 1-6);

inputting said regulatory requirements into said data processing apparatus (col. 11, line 64 – col. 12, line 17);

comparing said policy with said regulatory requirements (col. 11, line 64 – col. 12, line 17); and

displaying the resulting policy (col. 19, lines 1-6).

Sexton does not explicitly disclose

collecting data concerning multiple insurance coverages including health, disability, major medical, critical illness, long term care and property and casualty

However, Norris discloses collecting data concerning multiple insurance coverages including health, disability, major medical, critical illness, long term care and property and casualty (page 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the aforementioned feature as disclosed by Norris within Sexton for the motivation of the advantages of the multiline concept that generates cross selling activity (page 3).

Sexton and Norris do not explicitly disclose electing three or more coverages to form a policy.

However, Smith discloses electing three or more coverages to form a policy (pages 1 and 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the aforementioned feature as disclosed by

Art Unit: 3626

Smith within Sexton and Norris for the motivation of providing discounts to customers (page 2).

As to claims 2 and 4, Sexton discloses A method as claimed in claim 1 wherein: the data about an individual includes information concerning one or more of the following subjects: sex, age, marital status, individual medical history, family medical history, usage of alcohol, tobacco and drugs, automobile driving record, credit report, financial statement, criminal record, current medical examination report and results, and any physical disabilities and impairment (col. 17, lines 60-65).

As to claims 5 and 6, Sexton discloses A system wherein: base product data includes the probability of the event insured against occurring, the time value of money, the benefits promised, expenses and profits and contingencies (col. 9, lines 10-64).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are 4. moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 5. applicant's disclosure.
 - "Auto-homeowners packages" discloses package insurance. a.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,335 Page 5

Art Unit: 3626

"Comprehensive cover for small, medium units" discloses package b. insurance.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Kalinowski, whose telephone number is (703) 305-2398. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM. In addition, the examiner can be reached on alternate Fridays.

If any attempt to reached the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas, can be reached on (703) 305-9588. The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-7687 (for official communications including After Final communications labeled "Box AF").

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal alle and de l'and

Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th Floor, receptionist.

Alexander Kalinowski

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3626

12/11/2004